

# WHISTLER

# MINUTES

REGULAR MEETING OF ADVISORY DESIGN PANEL WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 3, 2014, STARTING AT 3:00 P.M.

In the Flute Room at Whistler Municipal Hall 4325 Blackcomb Way, Whistler, BC V0N 1B4

#### PRESENT:

MAIBC, Dennis Maguire
MAIBC, Doug Nelson
MAIBC, Chair, Tom Bunting
UDI, Dale Mikkelsen
Member at Large, Chris Wetaski
Member at Large, Eric Callender
Councillor, John Grills
Senior Planner & ADP Secretary, Melissa Laidlaw
Recording Secretary, Kay Chow

# **REGRETS**:

MBCSLA, Co-Chair, Crosland Doak MBCSLA, Pawel Gradowski

Call to Order

The meeting was called to order at 3:08 p.m.

#### **ADOPTION OF AGENDA**

Moved by T. Bunting Seconded by C. Wetaski

**That** Advisory Design Panel adopt the Advisory Design Panel agenda of September 3, 2014.

**CARRIED** 

#### **ADOPTION OF MINUTES**

Moved by T. Bunting Seconded by D. Nelson

**That** Advisory Design Panel adopt the Regular Advisory Design Panel minutes of June 12, 2014.

**CARRIED** 

## **COUNCIL UPDATE**

Councillor Grills provided an update of the most current topics being discussed by Council. The RMOW is working with the 1993 Official Community Plan (OCP); new roof for Meadow Park Sports Centre pool; Coops and London Lane developments are in foundation stage; GLC's proposed canopy was not approved by Council due to height and shadow

MINUTES Regular Advisory Design Panel Meeting September 3, 2014 Page 2

> impacts, the proposed design did not complement the existing building; Northern Lights rezoning application to increase GFA to address illegally developed spaces.

Dennis Maguire entered the meeting at 3:15 p.m.

#### **PRESENTATIONS**

1015/1025 Legacy Way The Podium 2<sup>nd</sup> Review File No. DVP1087 (formerly DP1348) The applicant team of John Dietrich, MIP Holdings Ltd., and Brent Murdoch, Murdoch + Company Architecture entered the meeting.

Melissa Laidlaw Senior Planner, RMOW introduced the project, which was reviewed by Panel as a Development Permit application in May 2014. Since then the 2013 OCP has been quashed and the previous (1993) OCP is now in effect, and; the property is not designated as a development permit area. This application seeks setback variances for the building, roof eaves, balcony projections and retaining wall.

Brent Murdoch advised on the following.

- 1. Two lots with similar zoning.
- 2. Landscaping evolved, more advanced and refined; richer front edge to the building.
- 3. Panel had recommended placing the underground parking entrance at the north end of the building; it was felt that would create a negative community impact.
- 4. The building's length has increased by approximately 10 ft.
- 5. Same general parking configuration with more resolution to mechanical, electrical, garbage and recycling.
- 6. Interior storage at each end of the building for bikes, skis, snow tires etc. so that these items are not stored on the decks.
- 7. The building's previous iteration was very symmetrical. The massing is now more broken up, more separation and stepping in roof line, accentuate with more texture and detail in the courtyard.
- 8. Break up front face of building, give a different face to the rear that faces the park.
- 9. Increased articulation and further refinement to the building ends, a more human scale.
- 10. Massing of the centre block of the building has been brought forward approximately 3 ft.
- 11. Roof lines move up and down, step back and forth.
- 12. Simple streamlined vertical elements on building face.
- 13. Create an open year round semi-public semi-private social space, a miniature casual gathering space where kids and toddlers can play outside, protected, open to the air, not sealed.
- 14. Intentional gathering spaces around gas fire pits for human interaction.
- 15. Details and materials are the traditional Whistler pallet, darker and more robust that lend well to winter skies and will look rich over time.
- 16. Robust landscape materials, traditional planting pallet; small connection pathways.

Panel offers the following comments.

# **Site Context and Landscaping**

 Panel supports the overall project, setback variances and courtyard concept.

#### Form and Character

- Panel recommends raising and modulating the courtyard roof to allow for more natural light, while respecting height relationship with remaining roof.
- 2. Panel suggested adding a bridge to the interior space for improved circulation.
- 3. Some panel members suggested adding some public areas on the west gap corridor bridges, similar to the East side, as these get the west sun.

# Materials, Colours and Details

- 1. Panel suggested using lighter related colours for the interior courtyard and to consider how texture and lighting of the interior courtyard differs from the building exterior.
- 2. Panel supports the durable, hardy and sustainable material choices; and suggested number of materials could be simplified further.
- 3. Panel recommends deeper decks for practicality.
- 4. Several members suggested increased storage for bikes and skis, for the units.

Moved by T. Bunting Seconded by D. Nelson

**That** the Advisory Design Panel supports the project as presented and does not need to see this project return for further review.

**CARRIED** 

The applicant team left the meeting.

## **OTHER BUSINESS**

Panel Membership 2015 Term

Melissa Laidlaw will contact the panel members regarding continuing for the 2015 term.

# **ADJOURNMENT**

Moved by T. Bunting

**That** Advisory Design Panel adjourn the September 3, 2014 committee meeting at 4:24 p.m.

**CARRIED** 

CHAIR: Tom Bunting	

MINUTES Regular Advisory Design Panel Meeting September 3, 2014 Page 4

SECRETARY: Melissa Laidlaw

cc: 2034.1