

WHISTLER

MINUTES

REGULAR MEETING OF ADVISORY DESIGN PANEL WEDNESDAY, APRIL 15, 2015, STARTING AT 3:00 P.M.

In the Flute Room at Whistler Municipal Hall 4325 Blackcomb Way, Whistler, BC V0N 1B4

PRESENT:

Architect AIBC, Doug Nelson
Architect AIBC, Co-Chair, Tom Bunting
MBCSLA, Chair, Crosland Doak
UDI, Dale Mikkelsen
Councillor, Steve Anderson
GM Resort Experience, RMOW and ADP Secretary, Jan Jansen
Director of Planning, RMOW, Mike Kirkegaard
Manager Resort Parks Planning, RMOW, Martin Pardoe
Recording Secretary, Kay Chow

REGRETS:

Architect AIBC, Duane Siegrist MBCSLA, Elaine Naisby Member at Large, Pam Frentzel-Beyme Member at Large, Pat Wotherspoon

ADOPTION OF AGENDA

Moved by Tom Bunting Seconded by Dale Mikkelsen

That Advisory Design Panel adopt the Advisory Design Panel agenda of April 15, 2015.

CARRIED

ADOPTION OF MINUTES

Moved by Tom Bunting Seconded by Dale Mikkelsen

That Advisory Design Panel adopt the Regular Advisory Design Panel minutes of March 18, 2015.

CARRIED

PRESENTATIONS

The applicant team of Brent Harley and Carlos Zavarce, Brent Harley & Associates Inc. entered the meeting.

Jan Jansen introduced the project, a continuation of the RMOW Village Portals and Gateways project presented to Advisory Design Panel on June

Wayfinding Signage Throughout Whistler 1st Review File No. P032 12, 2014. This wayfinding signage project links to various municipal initiatives including the Cultural Connector and Gateway Loop; these priority projects are an outcome of the Economic Partnership Initiative. Target delivery of new way finding signage is the start of the 2015/2016 ski season. Staff seeks Panel comments on Option D.

Brent Harley advised on the following.

- 1. Have been working with merJe ENVIRONMENTS & EXPERIENCES, a wayfinding company from Pennsylvania.
- 2. Primary goals:
 - > assist visitors to easily find their destinations;
 - upgrade wayfinding experience;
 - reinforce sense of place;
 - > co-ordinate and align with other RMOW projects;
 - build consensus with stakeholders;
 - consider all modes of transportation, vehicular arrival, parking, pedestrian and biking;
 - > address needs of international guests.
- 3. Develop recommendations, priorities, implementation strategy, guidelines for design management and maintenance.
- 4. Align the wayfinding strategy with other wayfinding oriented projects already underway such as the Cultural Connector and Gateway Loop, Portals and Gateways and vice versa.
- 5. Aspects to consider include home technology prior to the visit, place technology such as apps and QR codes, environment, support information, signage.
- 6. Information hierarchy, YVR to Whistler, arrival, internally in Whistler, mapping and signage.
- 7. merJe ENVIRONMENTS & EXPERIENCES has conducted an inventory and analysis through a case study approach; they also sat in the Village Host information booth for one day.
- 8. Work with stakeholders, consensus for three district zones within Whistler Village:
 - Village North
 - Upper Village
 - Village Centre
- 9. Key pieces: village circulation, village parking, village portals, pedestrian experience. How does signage relate?
- 10. The old Whistler Village map was complicated and hard to read. New map is in place and is being used.
- 11. Align signage with Whistler Village, the vernacular in place, incorporate pictograms to assist international tourists.
- 12. Three concepts created; they were work shopped with stakeholders and the Wayfinding Steering Committee. Identified information hierarchies, appropriateness, colour coding with the goal of relating to the portals and gateways; ensure signage and strategy aligns with other projects. This created the basis for the three concepts.
- 13. There was an overview of the three concepts, options A, B, and C.
- 14. Option A: signage shapes, menu of signs, driving pieces, alignment with shapes and colours of portal design. Stakeholders felt this option was the most aligned with the goals and objectives.

- 15. Option B: urban oriented; stakeholders felt it was not right for Whistler, too much like an airport or downtown anywhere.
- 16. Option C: classic national park approach, there is a sense of timelessness, might see this in a place like Banff, done before. Appropriate? Longevity, still strong in 20 years?
- 17. Red colour chosen for Village Centre; blue for Village North and orange for Upper Village.
- 18. Blue colour for parking; "P" for parking.
- 19. There were concerns with the proposed use of corten steel from a maintenance, management, product quality and cost perspective; therefore it was dropped from the discussion.
- 20. Draw from the inspiration of Whistler. What is Whistler oriented? Basalt, mountain peaks, angular shapes.
- 21. Provide the right information at the right time; reduce the information, there is too much information out there. Reduce the number of neighbourhoods to three with three colours, less confusing.
- 22. Request panel comments on option D: basalt colours, red colour for Village Centre, blue Village North, and orange Upper Village. Angular elements tie back to portals, shapes and colours.

Panel appreciates the approach taken and the efforts put into a very thorough study and offers the following comments.

Materials, Colours and Details

- 1. Panel supports the reduction in the number of neighbourhood zones.
- 2. Panel supports the simplification of colours assigned to the zones and the proposed colours for those zones but does not have a preference as to which colour is assigned to each zone.
- 3. Panel encourages the reduction of signage and deletion of old signage.
- 4. Panel supports the proposed angular forms.
- 5. Panel supports the proposed durable materials, steel and stone, which represents Whistlers' mountain culture.
- 6. Panel encourages testing a mock-up of a typical sign.
- 7. A panel member recommended consideration of technology as a method of wayfinding but also cautioned that technology outdates quickly.

Moved by Dale Mikkelsen Seconded by Doug Nelson

That the Advisory Design Panel supports Option D as presented and defers to Staff to carry the project through to completion with consideration of Panel's comments and does not need to see this project return for further review.

CARRIED.

The applicant team left the meeting.

ADJOURNMENT

Moved by Crosland Doak

That Advisory Design Panel adjourn the April 15, 2015 committee meeting at 4:05 p.m.

MINUTES Regular Advisory Design Panel Meeting April 15, 2015 Page 4

CARRIED

CHAIR: Crosland Doak
SECRETARY: Jan Jansen

cc: 2034.1